From:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent:

Friday, 29 July 2011 2:56 PM

To:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Cc:

Subject:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47G(1)(a) [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Attachments:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

In reference to additional information I have already provided, I conducted preliminary inspection on 5/06/11 assessing coat cover, cattle condition, signs of any illness and uniformity of lots at individual paddocks and I did not detect any evident problems. Before departure | s.22(1)(a)(ii), asked me to assess weights and if necessary to weigh the cattle. Following my visual assessment I was satisfied that the heaviest cattle were below 270 kg mark so I did not order animals to be weighed.

Regarding the breed of the cattle loaded s.47(1)(b)

accounted for 100% cattle loaded – total number 5022 (s.47(1)(b)

300, s.47(1)(b)

nd 300-400 and the balance<mark>s.47(1)(b)</mark>

Although the exporter was late in providing relevant to the export documentation and by a number of occasions the docs were presented to me upon my requests communicating \$\frac{\s.22(1)(a)(ii)}{\s.47F(1)}\$ apart from the indicated documentary issues, did not comply with the NOI. have no evidence that the exporter, Please find attached a copy of the final HSRA.

Kind Regards,

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Carthreatur Offres

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Thursday, 28 July 2011 5:17 PM

To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii), s. 47F(1)

Subject: s. 22(1)(a)(ii), [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Thank you for the detailed report. We are very busy here ourselves so I understand the delay in response.

I understand via the below e-mails that you were in frequent contact departure.

s.47F(1)

about the

consignment before

Could you please provide some further information about any earlier inspections you did on the cattle other than those shortly before departure.

Could you also please provide a breakdown from your recollection and records, detail of the breeds of the cattle loaded in Portland ie. all bos taurus, 50% bos Taurus etc as well as their number.

Could you also please provide a copy of the load plan and final HSRA as provided to you by the exporter as well as any further evidence as to how the exporter complied conditions of the NOI as per the e-mail to you from \$22(19))(a) June (below).

Please give me a call tomorrow morning,

[s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

1

(ii), .47F Live Animal Exports Program Animal Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Fax: (02) 6272 5423

From s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Friday, 10 June 2011 8:33 AM

To: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Cc:

Subject: RE: LNC 4492 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

In addition the NOI only identifies one importer. If the exporter provides import permits other than for the one importer you will need to advise them that they will have to send an amended NOI to Canberra and that you cannot issue health certification for these additional import permits.

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

From s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Friday, 10 June 2011 8:25 AM

To: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Subject: RE: LNC 4492 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Good Morning s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

I will make sure that the exporter adheres strictly to the HSRA and the NOI conditions. Additionally, I will be conducting random checks of weights (weighbridge)of cattle delivered to the wharf including their on-deck allocation when loaded to ensure compliance with the loading plan.

Rs

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

From: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Thursday, 9 June 2011 4:04 PM

To s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Cc

Subject: LNC 4492 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

The LAE program has approved LNC 4492.

This is potentially a high risk consignment as it is taking cattle / sheep from a southern hemisphere winter through the Suez canal in the middle of the northern hemisphere summer.

When you are assessing documentation / inspecting livestock / supervising loading for this consignment can you please:

1. Ensure that the exporter provides you with an updated HSRA that reflects the actual numbers of cattle and sheep to be loaded and their actual weights

From:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent:

hursday, 2 June 2011 1:20 PM

To:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Subject:

FW: [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Attachments:

[Untitled].pdf

Hi

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

As per your request, I inspected cattle re export to Turkey at yet - intake starting today) and sheep s.22(1)(a)(ii)

s.22(1)(a)(ii)

I spoke (approximately 1600 s.47(1)(b) that in the population of the cattle I inspected at s.22(1)(a)(ii) on 01/06/11, 20 - 25% have close to hairy/winter coat cover and the rest can be classed as mid coat. The cattle appear to be uniform and in range 230 - 260 kg as per own assessment and confirmed by s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1) Please note that these cattle originate from the Hamilton area as s.47F(1) Intake of the balance commences today and will continue for the next 3 days arriving s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1) as indicated by the exporter and s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1) the AAV with whom I had a brief discussion.

Regarding the sheep being prepared for export to the ME I conducted thorough inspection in all paddocks according to the supplied plans (attached) by the feedlot manager on 31/05 and on 1/06 in the morning (could not finish on Tuesday as it was getting dark).

In general I am happy with what I've seen with exception of one paddock where I've noticed a number of sheep (around 50) exhibiting freshly stained anal area upper hindquarter areas but the scouring.

Please also note than one paddock - 12A looking lush and green (grass/weeds) and seen sheep feeding happily on this green pasture (had also hay and pellets). They are in good condition and I have not detected any signs that would raise my concern of health issues; no scouring.

Kind Regards,

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

----Original Message--

From: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Thursday, 2 June 2011 12:44 PM

To: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Subject:

CATTLE MORTALITY ON S. 22(1)(a) EXPORT TO TURKEY

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47G(1)(a)

LNC: 4492

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47G(1)(a)

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

THIRD PARTY VETERINARIAN

DATE OF INSPECTIONS: 13/06/2011 -s.22(1)(a)(ii)

14/06/2011 -

14-15/06/2011 - LOADING

DATE OF DEPARTURE: 15/06/2011

The total number of cattle eligible for export - 5022 the combined.

feedlots

As far as I can recall, the weather on the day of loading (14/06) was fine but the next day in the morning was rather wet - a few showers but the temperature was mild. It stopped raining in the afternoon and the weather remained fine till completion of the loading.

raining in the afternoon and the weather remained fine till completion of the loading. On both days of inspection (13/06 between 2.30 – 4.00 pm) (7:30am – 1pm) the weather conditions were fine. The area had experienced heavy rainfalls earlier in the week and hence the ground felt soggy and in some places rather muddy. During my inspection in the registered premises I did not observe any sick animals and assessed the general health and condition of the cattle as good. However, when conducting inspection in the (3.22(1)(a)) on 13/06 (between 2.30 and 4 pm), I noticed that approximately 450 - 500 cattle had rough, winter coat an I notified the LAE about my finding. During the inspection I asked the managers of the s.22(1)(a)(ii) premises about mortalities and any health issues. I was told that no health problems were evident

about mortalities and any health issues. I was told that no health problems were evident and none animals died s.22(1)(a)(ii) but 2 s.22(1)(a) 1 sudden death and 1 broken leg. I did not ask for written records to verify the statements.

In total 84 cattle were rejected from the consignment due to no, lost tag, BT reactors, ringworm, pinkeye and lameness including 9 on final inspection (ringworm, pinkeye, lameness). No cattle were rejected due to general health issue or illness.

I did not observe any problems with loading and it progressed well. I boarded the vessel before loading to check rumps, runs and pens, feed and water service facilities – all were well set and in good condition (sent photos to s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1) I boarded the boat during mid-loading and before completion of loading. I found that ventilation was good and cattle were comfortable although distributed not evenly in pens. I communicated the finding to the stockman and to the exporter and they assured me that soon after departure the cattle would be moved around to ensure the uniform pen density. I checked the total final loading density and they were compliant with the figures as stated in the Heat Stress Risk Analysis.

In regards to the health of the cattle before departure, no health concerns were brought to my attention by the exporter, premises operators, shipboard AAV or stockman nor infield AAVs.

Please note that I assessed the condition of the cattle at as good and estimated that weights were in range between in range 230 – 270 kg. My only concern was pronounced winter coated cattle (450-500 heads) as noted above.

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

S.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

S.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Please find attached the report as you have requested. I could not prepare and submit earlier as was out of the office yesterday afternoon and was busy with companion animals today.

Please let me know if you require my further assistance.

Kind Regards,

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

From: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Sent: Monday, 25 July 2011 10:48 AM

To: s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

Cc: Subject s.22(1)(a)(ii),

Dear s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47F(1)

As you are aware, the recent LSS consignment that departed Portland on 15 June 2011 (LNC 4492) has reported a loss of 71 cattle as of 21 July, equating to a mortality percentage of 1.41%. The reportable level for cattle on a long haul voyage is 1.0%. As such there will be an investigation into the mortalities and I will be conducting this investigation.

As part of the normal investigation process we ask the AQIS VO who inspected the livestock and issued the export permit and health certificate, to provide information on the consignment to help us prepare the final report.

As such could you please provide a report detailing the outcomes of your inspections in the registered premises and during loading. In your report please also address the following questions in addition to any other information you think may be relevant:

- 1. What were the weather conditions on the day of loading?
- 2. What were the weather conditions in the registered premises on the days of inspection and loading?
- 3. Did you observe any mortalities or sick cattle in the registered premises during your inspections? Did you check the registered premises mortality records?
- 4. How many cattle were removed (and for what reason) from the consignment during quarantine and during final inspection?
- 5. What were you observations from the on board inspection during and after loading?
- 6. Did the Exporter, Premises operator, Shipboard AAV or stockman notify you of any concerns regarding the health of the cattle before departure?
- 7. Any other information you think may be relevant?

In addition, to help the investigation, could you please provide a timeline detailing your activities in relation to this consignment including the initial inspection to review weights and condition of animals.

Please contact me if you have any queries about the above questions or the investigation.

s.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47G(1)(a)

S.22(1)(a)(ii), s.47G(1)(a)

Departure Deta 15/06/2011
First Port of Archiel Merser, Succ.
Actival Data 11/07/2011

s. 47G(1)(a)

	head	व्यापक्ष	tonner
Catale	5808	6275.33	1517:64
altication of	5000	1475.01	210
GORG	2	\$	ů.
Total	10865	7753.34	1772 64

s. 47G(1)(a), s. 47(1)(b)

Compared to the property of the p

DECKO

ENDER OF

1650 TIL

HEADS

s. 47(1)(b)

DECKS

77

106 HE

HOLD a

HQLD.2

s. 47(1)(b)

135

337